

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,167	7 12/04/2003		Patrick D. Fourney	FP0602.2 US 8624	
41385	7590	06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
FIBROGEN			TELLER, ROY R		
INTELLECT 225 GATEW		OPERTY DEPAR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		CISCO, CA 9408	1654		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/729,167	PATRICK FOURNEY				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Roy Teller	1654				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHOR WHICHE - Extensior after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA s of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu od for reply is specified above, the maximum statu reply within the set or extended period for reply we received by the Office later than three months after then term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA f 37 CFR 1.13 nication. utory period wi rill, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)∐ Th 3)∐ Sir	sponsive to communication(s) filed is action is FINAL . 2the this application is in condition for seed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This a or allowan	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are:	e withdraw n and/or el Examiner a) acce	lection requirement. : epted or b) objected to by the E					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P (s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/729,167

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3-14, 16, 18, 20, 22-24, 26, and 28-31, drawn to a method comprising stabilizing HIF alpha, classified in class 514, subclass 3.
- II. Claims 2, 4, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 25, and 27, drawn to a method that inhibites HIF hydroxylase activity, classified in class 530, subclass 303.
- III. Claims 32 and 33, drawn to a method for decreasing aerobic metabolism and increasing anaerobic metabolism, classified in class 435, subclass 336.

The inventions are distinct from each other because of the following reasons:

Claims 4 and 13 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-3, 5-12, and 14-31. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are

Art Unit: 1654

governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Inventions in groups I and II are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the methods have different effects, i.e., group I stabilizes HIF alpha, and group II inhibites HIF hydroxylase activity.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and since they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or divergent subject matter, and/or are separately and independently searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirements be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,167

Art Unit: 1654

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is 571-272-0971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RT 1654

6/9/06

Supervisor of atent Examiner Technology Center 1600 Page 4

RT